1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 JOHN LITTLE, Case No. +08-cv-0595-MJP-JPD 10 11 Plaintiff, REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION 12 v. 13 D. RABELOS, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 Plaintiff John Little is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 18 civil rights action against two officers of the Everett Police Department. Dkt. No. 6. At the 19 time he filed his complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated in the Snohomish County Jail in Everett, 20 Washington. Plaintiff's complaint centers on his allegation that he was subjected to excessive 21 force when he was arrested by the defendants on January 30, 2008. Id. Plaintiff identified 22 officers D. Rabelos and M. Atwood as the defendants. *Id.* 23 On October 14, 2008, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss. Dkt. No. 16. On 24 November 5, 2008, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. Dkt. No. 20. Plaintiff 25 never responded to either motion. On December 2, 2008, Plaintiff filed a motion for extension 26 of time to prosecute and reinstate discovery proceedings, Dkt. No. 30, which was denied by

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

PAGE - 1

1	this Court, Dkt. No. 35. On January 21, 2009, the copy of the Court's order denying Plaintiff's
2	motion for extension of time to prosecute and reinstate discovery proceedings, which was
3	mailed to Plaintiff at the Snohomish County Jail, was returned as undeliverable. Dkt. No. 36.
4	In addition, on February 11, 2009, the copy of the Court's order granting Defendants' motion
5	to continue the deadline to file a joint pretrial statement, which was mailed to Plaintiff at the
6	Snohomish County Jail, was also returned as undeliverable. Dkt. No. 40. To date, Plaintiff has
7	not provided the court with a new address, nor has he responded to Defendants' motion to
8	dismiss and motion for summary judgment.
9	Because over sixty days have elapsed since mail directed to Plaintiff at his address of
10	record was first returned to the court by the Post Office as undeliverable, and because Plaintiff
11	has not notified the Court of his current address, this action is DISMISSED without prejudice
12	for failure to prosecute pursuant to Local Rule CR 41(b)(2). A proposed order accompanies
13	this Report and Recommendation.
14	DATED this 30th day of March, 2009.
15	James P. Donolue
16	JAMES P. DONOHUE
17	United States Magistrate Judge
18	
19	